

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

KENNETH BAZE,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
STATE OF TEXAS,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	Civil Action No. 3:23-CV-0527-C

**ORDER**

Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge advising the Court that Petitioner's claims in the above-styled and -numbered civil action should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Plaintiff failed to timely file any objections to the Magistrate Judge's Recommendations.

The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).

The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge's thorough and well-reasoned findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is therefore


**ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation are hereby **ADOPTED** as the

findings and conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated therein, Petitioner's Section 2254 Petition is **DISMISSED** as time barred.

Further, the Clerk of Court shall serve electronically a copy of this Order accepting and adopting the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation.

SO ORDERED.

Dated this 1<sup>st</sup> day of May, 2023.



SAM R. CUMMINGS  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE